

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/067,330 02/07/2002		Jean-Claude Beauvois	0503-1004	4598		
466	7590	03/25/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
YOUNG &			JONES, D	JONES, DAVID B		
ARLINGTO		REET 2ND FLOO 22202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-		3725	7		
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/067,3	30	BEAUVOIS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		David B		3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	-			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so the toreply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. 30) days, a reply within the statetuctory period will apply and vy will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. in the mailing date of this communical ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
′—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition	,		rosecution as to the merits	s is			
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the	application.						
/—	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/ar		nsideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🂢	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
		,	•	, , , , ,				
-,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority			ition No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies		• •					
	application from the Internation			_				
* :	See the attached detailed Office action	·		/ed.				
•								
Attachmer			4) Interview Summar	n. (PTO_413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail (
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .		6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/067,330

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification appears to be a product of translation from a foreign document and as such contains many awkward and indefinite limitations. The specification should be reviewed and amended into proper U.S. form. For example the term "directrix" found on page 1, line 6, is unclear and renders the specification vague and indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims as a whole appear to be a product of translation from a foreign document. As such they fail to comply with U.S. practice as it relates to claim form. The claims should be reviewed and amended into proper claim form. Claim 1 will be treated for example sake only but all the claims contain like limitations that render them indefinite. In claim 1, lines 1 and 2, the limitation "of possibly perforated sheet material" is vague and indefinite. On line 3 of claim 1, "the overall surface" lacks antecedent basis. On lines 5 and 6 of claim 1, "the edges of the strip", lacks antecedent basis. Further on line 6 of claim 1, the limitation, "directrix" is vague and indefinite in meaning. On line 9 of claim 1, "the edges of the strip" lacks antecedent basis in the claim. On line 2 from the bottom of claim 1, "substantially conjugate" is unclear and indefinite. Further claim 1, as well as claims 2-11, is a method claims, as such they should be set forth in active method steps to perform the

Application/Control Number: 10/067,330

Art Unit: 3725

desired operation. Finally with respect to claim 12, the independent apparatus claim, the term "generatrices" is not understood and appears to be a mistranslation into English. All the claims should be reviewed for like problems and the specification should reflect the changes made to the claims so as to provide antecedent basis for the changes; no new matter should be entered into the case.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1025985. EP '985 teaches the claimed invention, as admitted on page 2, the 2nd paragraph of his specification, excepting that the material of the strip being that of metal. Metal corrugations are well known in the art of corrugating and also in the art of corrugated packings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of corrugating to have used the method and apparatus of EP '985 in forming thin metal strips if so desired. Nothing in the method of EP '985 would preclude it from being used on other materials other than that of cardboard. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have used the simple method as set forth in EP '985 on metal sheets or metal woven sheet, of which sheets are well known. Regarding claim 12, see figs. 4 and 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,330

Art Unit: 3725

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. JONES whose telephone number is (703) 308-1887.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

In the event that the Applicant(s) wishes to communicate via Fax, the current central Fax number for the patent office is (703) 872-0906

DBJ

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3725

Page 4